

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (CoMMESSIONER) OF CALENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC (2017) www.usjtovee

DATE MAILED: 09 06 2002

APPLICATION NO.	HUNG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONTRMITTON NO
09 807,664	07 05 2001	Wolfgang Becker	H3624PCT US	2217
23657 75	990			
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
2500 RENAISS GULPH MILLS	SANCE BLVD., SUITE I 8, PA = 19406	200	HOWARD, JACQUELINE V	
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	20			
	`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		09/807,664	BECKER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAII INC DATE of this communication	Jacqueline V. Howard					
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears on the cover snee	et with the correspondence address				
TH - E a - If - If - F - A	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI fier SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prepailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stray reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum days the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	N. R 1 136(a) In no event, however, many reply within the statutory minimum or riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 June 2002 .					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)[
Dispos	closed in accordance with the practice und sition of Claims	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)[Claim(s) <u>11-28</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>11-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
Applic	ation Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application ha	s been received.	,			
Attachm		oodo priority drider oo O.C	33 120 dild/01 121.				
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) htice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/807,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

A careful study of U.S. Patent 5,439,709 to Becker revealed that process claims 11 to 24 would be obvious in view of the teaching therein. Accordingly the indicated allowability of claims 11 to 24 is withdrawn.

An action on these claims follows.

Claims 11 to 28 are pending.

Claims 11 to 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al (5,439,709).

The reference teaches a process of lubricating textile fibers comprising contacting said fibers with a smoothing agent consisting of fatty acid methyl esters which have been produced by transesterification of natural triglycerides, including coconut oil, palm oil, rapeseed oil, soybean oil (col. 1, lines 54 to 61). Suitable textile fibers are textile fibers of natural and/or synthetic origin. Wool is specifically taught as one such fiber to be lubricated with the fatty acid methyl ester (col. 4, line 60).

The composition is used in the form of an emulsion. Emulsifiers to be used in the composition are taught at col.2, line 12 to 25.

Applicant claims a lubricant composition for wool fibers and a process for using said lubricant composition. It is the examiner's position that the claimed invention would be prima facie obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,664

Page 3

Art Unit: 1764

Applicants arguments in response to the Office Action dated January 11, 2002 are rendered moot in view of the new application of the reference to the claims. Intended use is not an issue since patentee does include wool fibers in his list of suitable textile fibers. The fact the Patentee chose to exemplify his lubricating process and product using tallow does not negate the fact that he taught using fatty acid methyl ester of the same fatty acids claimed in the instant invention. Patents are not used just for what is in the illustrative examples but what they realistically teach in the specification and claims. The claims of the reference are likewise not limited to tallow, but are to saturated or unsaturated C_8 - C_{22} fatty acid methyl esters.

One desirous of providing a smoothing agent for textile fibers, including wool fibers, during the lubricating process which would result in higher yield product having enhanced properties would be motivated to use a C_8 - C_{22} fatty acid methyl ester of the type set forth in the instant claims, because the prior art teaches treating the same textile fibers with the same smoothing agent. It is not unobvious to follow the teaching of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to J. Howard at telephone number 703-308-2514.

Howard/sp

August 29, 2002

HOWARD HOWARD THOUGH THOU